

Acknowledgement: Slides courtesy of Zachary Kenz and Keri Rehm

Sensitivities, using the logistic model

Kevin Flores

Center for Research in Scientific Computation
Center for Quantitative Sciences in Biomedicine
Department of Mathematics
North Carolina State University

28 July 2016

Logistic equation

Logistic model (where $\theta = (r, K, x_0)$):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t;\theta)}{dt} &= rx(t;\theta) \left(1 - \frac{x(t;\theta)}{K}\right), \\ x(0;\theta) &= x_0\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 25$ (and later at $\theta = (0.7, 17.5, 0.1)$).

We can find an analytic solution:

$$x(t;\theta) = \frac{K}{1 + \left(\frac{K}{x_0} - 1\right) e^{-rt}}.$$

With the closed form solution, we could just take the derivative of that model solution with respect to each parameter [2]:

$$\mathbf{s}_K(t, x(t; \theta); \theta) \triangleq \frac{\partial x}{\partial K} = \frac{x_0^2(1-e^{-rt})}{(x_0+(K-x_0)e^{-rt})^2}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_r(t, x(t; \theta); \theta) \triangleq \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} = \frac{Kx_0(K-x_0)te^{-rt}}{(x_0+(K-x_0)e^{-rt})^2}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{x_0 1}(t, x(t; \theta); \theta) \triangleq \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} = \frac{K^2 e^{-rt}}{(x_0+(K-x_0)e^{-rt})^2}$$

However...

- Most problems do not admit such a nice analytic solution
- Need another solution mechanism more applicable to general ODE problems
- Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ in ODE form, solve resulting equations simultaneously with model (c.f., [1])

We will work with the following ODE form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2.$$

Sensitivity for K

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial K}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

Sensitivity for K

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial K}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left(rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2 \right)$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial K} \right) = r \frac{\partial x}{\partial K} - \frac{2r}{K}x \frac{\partial x}{\partial K} + \frac{r}{K^2}x^2.$$

Sensitivity for K

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial K}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left(rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2 \right) \\ \implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial K} \right) &= r \frac{\partial x}{\partial K} - \frac{2r}{K}x \frac{\partial x}{\partial K} + \frac{r}{K^2}x^2.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\mathbf{s}_K = \frac{\partial x}{\partial K}$ to be a new state. Then, we obtain the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{s}_K = r \mathbf{s}_K - \frac{2r}{K}x \mathbf{s}_K + \frac{r}{K^2}x^2.$$

For the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$, also take $\frac{\partial}{\partial K}$:

$$\frac{\partial x(0)}{\partial K} = \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial K} \implies \mathbf{s}_K(0) = 0.$$

Sensitivity for r

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

Sensitivity for r

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2 \right)$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \right) = r \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + x - \frac{2r}{K}x \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{K}x^2.$$

Define $\mathbf{s}_r = \frac{\partial x}{\partial r}$ to be a new state. Then, we obtain the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{s}_r = r \mathbf{s}_r + x - \frac{2r}{K}x \mathbf{s}_r - \frac{1}{K}x^2.$$

For the initial condition:

$$\frac{\partial x(0)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial r} \implies \mathbf{s}_r(0) = 0.$$

Sensitivity for x_0

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

Sensitivity for x_0

Take $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ of $\frac{dx}{dt} = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{dx}{dt} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \left(rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2 \right) \\ \implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right) &= r \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} - \frac{2r}{K}x \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\mathbf{r}_{x_0 1} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0}$ to be a new state. Then, we obtain the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{r}_{x_0 1} = r \mathbf{r}_{x_0 1} - \frac{2r}{K}x \mathbf{r}_{x_0 1}.$$

For the initial condition:

$$\frac{\partial x(0)}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial x_0} \implies \mathbf{r}_{x_0 1}(0) = 1.$$

Model with sensitivities

All together:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2 \\ \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{K}} &= r\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{K}} - \frac{2r}{K}x\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{K}} + \frac{r}{K^2}x^2 \\ \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{r}} &= r\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{2r}{K}x\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{r}} + x - \frac{1}{K}x^2 \\ \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{x}_01} &= r\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}_01} - \frac{2r}{K}x\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}_01}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{K}}(0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{r}}(0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}_01} = 1.$$

You can then solve this entire system simultaneously with an ODE solver like `ode45` (or if stiff, `ode15s`).

Connection to general notation

Recall the general expression for an ODE,

$$\dot{x}(t; \theta) = g(t, x(t; \theta); \theta)$$

and the general expression for the sensitivities [1]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} = \left[\frac{\partial x}{\partial q}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right] = \left[\frac{\partial x}{\partial K}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right] = [\mathbf{s}_K, \mathbf{s}_r, \mathbf{r}_{x_0}].$$

If we compute the general expression for the sensitivities above, do we get the same equations as we just obtained for \mathbf{s}_K , \mathbf{s}_r , and \mathbf{r}_{x_0} ??

General notation computations

Letting $\dot{x}(t) = g(t, x; r, K, x_0) = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$, we compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}$.

General notation computations

Letting $\dot{x}(t) = g(t, x; r, K, x_0) = rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$, we compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}$.

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = r - \frac{2r}{K}x$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} &= \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial K}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_0} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{r}{K^2}x^2, x - \frac{1}{K}x^2, 0 \right]\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{S}_K, \mathbf{S}_r, \mathbf{r}_{x_01}] &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \left(r - \frac{2r}{K}x \right) [\mathbf{S}_K, \mathbf{S}_r, \mathbf{r}_{x_01}] + \left[\frac{r}{K}x^2, x - \frac{1}{K}x^2, 0 \right]\end{aligned}$$

which, if you multiply it out, is exactly the same as what we previously obtained (with initial conditions handled exactly as before).

Mathematical model review

We explore our experimental design questions using a **mathematical model**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}(t) &= \vec{g}(t, \vec{x}(t; \vec{\theta}), \vec{q}), \quad t \in [t_0, t_f] \\ \vec{x}(t_0; \vec{\theta}) &= \vec{x}_0\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

- $\vec{x}(t; \vec{\theta})$: the N state variables
- $\vec{g}(t, \vec{x}, \vec{q}) : \mathbb{R}^{1+N+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$: mathematical description of underlying process
- \vec{x}_0 : the N initial conditions
- \vec{q} : the p system parameters
- $\vec{\theta}$: vector containing $p + N$ parameters and IC to estimate, contains elements from \vec{q} and \vec{x}_0 ; e.g. $\vec{\theta} = [\vec{q}, \vec{x}_0]$.
- t_0, t_f : initial time, final time.

Experimental setting review

Assume we have n observations at times $t_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq t_f$. Introduce the **observation process**

$$\vec{f}(t_j; \vec{\theta}) = C(\vec{x}(t_j; \vec{\theta})), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

where $C()$ is an **observation operator** that maps $\mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N^*}$, $N^* \leq N$. To discuss the amount of uncertainty in parameter estimates, we formulate a **statistical model** [3]

$$\vec{y}_j = \vec{f}(t_j; \vec{\theta}_0) + \vec{\epsilon}(t_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{\theta}_0$ is the **true values of the unknown parameters** and $\vec{\epsilon}$ represents **observation error for the state variables**.

Sensitivity matrix, single observable

We construct the **sensitivity matrix** by arranging the sensitivity equations $\frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta_k}$ in a row vector, evaluate at times $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^n$, and stack (to form an $n \times (\rho + N)$ matrix $\left(\frac{\partial x(t_j)}{\partial \theta_k}\right)$).

$$\chi_j = \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \text{Need more information with more columns} \rightarrow \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial \theta_{(\rho+N)}} \\ \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial \theta_{(\rho+N)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial \theta_{(\rho+N)}} \end{array} \right] \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{More rows} \\ \text{gives more} \\ \text{information} \\ \downarrow \end{matrix}$$

- Getting lots of rows is unrealistic
- Using too many columns (estimating too many parameters) may lead to bad results
- Using too few columns may not be useful

Logistic eqn sensitivity matrix

The sensitivity matrix for the logistic equation would be written as

$$\chi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial K} & \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x(t_1)}{\partial x_0} \\ \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial K} & \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x(t_2)}{\partial x_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial K} & \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x(t_n)}{\partial x_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the partial derivatives are as previously described

Sensitivity matrices, multiple observables

We construct **sensitivity matrices** by arranging the sensitivity equations $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \theta_k}$ in a matrix and then evaluating at times $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^n$ to form n sensitivity matrices, each $N^* \times (N + p)$.

← Need more information with more columns →

$$\chi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(t_j)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial f_1(t_j)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1(t_j)}{\partial \theta_{(p+N)}} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(t_j)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial f_2(t_j)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2(t_j)}{\partial \theta_{(p+N)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{N^*}(t_j)}{\partial \theta_1} & \frac{\partial f_{N^*}(t_j)}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{N^*}(t_j)}{\partial \theta_{(p+N)}} \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
More rows
gives more
information
↓

- Multiple matrices: one for each time point.
- Getting lots of rows is unrealistic
- Using too many columns (estimating too many parameters) may lead to bad results
- Using too few columns may not be useful

Fisher Information Matrix

We use the sensitivity matrices to calculate the $(p + N) \times (p + N)$ **Fisher Information Matrix** (FIM)

$$F = \chi^T V_0^{-1} \chi \quad \text{for single observable problems,}$$

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j^T V_0^{-1} \chi_j \quad \text{for } >1 \text{ observable problems.}$$

- F^{-1} is the **covariance matrix** of the parameters.
- The **standard error** of a parameter, $SE(\vec{\theta}_k) = \sqrt{F_{k,k}^{-1}}$, measures the reliability of its estimate.
- Off-diagonal elements of F^{-1} describe interactions between parameters.
- $F(1, 1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{N^*} \left(\frac{\partial f_i(t_j)}{\partial \theta_1} \right)^2$
- $F(1, 2) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{N^*} \frac{\partial f_i(t_j)}{\partial \theta_1} \frac{\partial f_i(t_j)}{\partial \theta_2}$

- [1] H.T. Banks and H.T. Tran, *Mathematical and Experimental Modeling of Physical and Biological Processes*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [2] H.T. Banks, S. Dediu, and S.E. Ernstberger, Sensitivity functions and their uses in inverse problems, *J. Inverse and Ill-posed Problems*, **15** (2007), 683–708.
- [3] H. T. Banks, M. Davidian, J. R. Samuels, and K. L. Sutton. An inverse problem statistical methodology summary, CRSC Technical Report CRSC-TR08-01, NCSU, January 2008; Chapter 11 in *Statistical Estimation Approaches in Epidemiology*, Gerardo Chowell, et. al., eds. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2009, 249–302.